IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

MATTHEW BEAVERSON,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§ §	Case No. 6:19-CV-295-JDK-KNM
COMMISSIONER, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,	§ § §	
Defendant.	\$ \$	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On July 22, 2019, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 4), recommending that the action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with an order of the Court and for failure to prosecute.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did not file objections in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews her legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations, the Court finds no

clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. The Court therefore adopts

the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 4) as the

findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 4)

be ADOPTED and that the above-styled civil action be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE

for failure to comply with an order of the Court and for failure to prosecute. All pending motions

are **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **7th**

day of August, 2019.

ERMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE